RESEARCH ARTICLE



Quinolone Resistance Reversion by Targeting the SOS Response

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

E. Recacha,^{a,b} J. Machuca,^{a,c,d} P. Díaz de Alba,^a M. Ramos-Güelfo,^a F. Docobo-Pérez,^{b,c,d} ^(b)J. Rodriguez-Beltrán,^{c,d} ^(b)J. Blázquez,^{e,f} A. Pascual,^{a,b,c,d} ^(b)J. M. Rodríguez-Martínez^{b,c,d}

Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Microbiología y Medicina Preventiva, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain^a; Departamento de Microbiología, Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain^b; Red Española de Investigación en Patología Infecciosa (REIPI), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain^c; Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain^d; Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain^e; Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Microbiología y Medicina Preventiva, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocio, Seville, Spain^f

ABSTRACT Suppression of the SOS response has been postulated as a therapeutic strategy for potentiating antimicrobial agents. We aimed to evaluate the impact of its suppression on reversing resistance using a model of isogenic strains of Escherichia coli representing multiple levels of quinolone resistance. E. coli mutants exhibiting a spectrum of SOS activity were constructed from isogenic strains carrying quinolone resistance mechanisms with susceptible and resistant phenotypes. Changes in susceptibility were evaluated by static (MICs) and dynamic (killing curves or flow cytometry) methodologies. A peritoneal sepsis murine model was used to evaluate in vivo impact. Suppression of the SOS response was capable of resensitizing mutant strains with genes encoding three or four different resistance mechanisms (up to 15fold reductions in MICs). Killing curve assays showed a clear disadvantage for survival ($\Delta \log_{10}$ CFU per milliliter [CFU/ml] of 8 log units after 24 h), and the *in vivo* efficacy of ciprofloxacin was significantly enhanced ($\Delta \log_{10}$ CFU/g of 1.76 log units) in resistant strains with a suppressed SOS response. This effect was evident even after short periods (60 min) of exposure. Suppression of the SOS response reverses antimicrobial resistance across a range of E. coli phenotypes from reduced susceptibility to highly resistant, playing a significant role in increasing the in vivo efficacy.

IMPORTANCE The rapid rise of antibiotic resistance in bacterial pathogens is now considered a major global health crisis. New strategies are needed to block the development of resistance and to extend the life of antibiotics. The SOS response is a promising target for developing therapeutics to reduce the acquisition of antibiotic resistance and enhance the bactericidal activity of antimicrobial agents such as quinolones. Significant questions remain regarding its impact as a strategy for the reversion or resensitization of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. To address this question, we have generated *E. coli* mutants that exhibited a spectrum of SOS activity, ranging from a natural SOS response to a hypoinducible or constitutively suppressed response. We tested the effects of these mutations on quinolone resistance reversion under therapeutic concentrations in a set of isogenic strains carrying different combinations of chromosome- and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance mechanisms with susceptible, low-level quinolone resistant, resistant, and highly resistant phenotypes. Our comprehensive analysis opens up a new strategy for reversing drug resistance by targeting the SOS response.

KEYWORDS RecA, SOS response, quinolones, resensitization of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, resistance reversion

Received 6 June 2017 Accepted 8 September 2017 Published 10 October 2017

Citation Recacha E, Machuca J, Díaz de Alba P, Ramos-Güelfo M, Docobo-Pérez F, Rodriguez-Beltrán J, Blázquez J, Pascual A, Rodríguez-Martínez JM. 2017. Quinolone resistance reversion by targeting the SOS response. mBio 8:e00971-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .00971-17.

Editor Robert A. Bonomo, Louis Stokes Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Copyright © 2017 Recacha et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to J. M. Rodríguez-Martínez, jmrodriguez@us.es.

E.R. and J.M. are co-first authors, and A.P. and J.M.R.-M. are co-senior authors.

Efforts to overcome the problem of resistance have focused mainly on modifying existing antibiotics by circumventing the molecular mechanisms conferring resistance (1). While such efforts are efficacious against resistant strains, new resistance mechanisms often arise in the process of adaptation to new antimicrobial agents (2, 3).

Members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, like *Escherichia coli*, are among the most common causes of community and nosocomial infections. Fluoroquinolones are used for empirical and directed therapy in infections caused by *E. coli* (4). Quinolone resistance has increased notably in *Enterobacteriaceae* from both human and veterinary isolates (5, 6). Mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance occur principally through chromosomal mutations in genes encoding the quinolone targets (DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV), and to a lesser extent through decreased permeability (6). Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance mechanisms have also been described (7). These determinants on their own (whether chromosomally or plasmid mediated) confer low-level quinolone resistance.

New strategies are needed to block the development of resistance and to extend the life of antibiotics such as quinolones. Multiple studies suggest that adaptive resistance mutations and the acquisition of resistance genes by bacteria are induced or facilitated by antibiotic therapy due to the activation of RecA (leading to the SOS response, the DNA repair and mutagenesis pathway) (8-10). Antibiotics can trigger bacterial stress at both lethal and sublethal concentrations (8). In this respect, fluoroquinolones are potent inducers of the SOS response, causing DNA damage or arresting replication forks by blocking DNA gyrase (10, 11). The SOS pathway is initiated through the activation of RecA, which in turn induces autocatalytic cleavage of the LexA repressor and induces the SOS response genes (8, 10). RecA is involved in DNA repair, recombination, induction of the SOS response, horizontal gene transfer, and biofilm formation (10, 12–14). Systematically altering bacterial SOS activity, both constitutive SOS activation and inactivation, has been revealed as a therapeutic strategy for potentiating bactericidal antibiotics like quinolones against highly susceptible wildtype E. coli (15, 16). Several compounds have also been shown to inhibit the ATPase activity of RecA in vitro (17-19). Phthalocyanine tetrasulfonate were recently characterized as an in vivo RecA inhibitor (20). In short, the SOS response is a promising target for developing therapeutics to enhance the bactericidal activity of antimicrobial agents such as quinolones.

Despite genetic data implicating the SOS response as critical to the survival and adaptation of highly susceptible wild-type bacteria, significant questions remain regarding its impact as a strategy for the reversion or resensitization of antibiotic-resistant bacteria under therapeutic concentrations (21–23). To address this question, we generated *E. coli* mutants that exhibited a spectrum of SOS activity, ranging from a natural SOS response to a hypoinducible (LexA1; very low cleavage rate) (24) or constitutively suppressed response ($\Delta recA$) (Fig. 1). We tested the effects of these mutations on a set of isogenic strains carrying different combinations of chromosome- and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance mechanisms with susceptible, LLQR, resistant, and highly resistant phenotypes. Our comprehensive analysis opens up a new strategy for reversing drug resistance by targeting the SOS response.

(This study was presented in part at ASM Microbe, Boston, MA, in 2016 [oral presentation, session 374].)

RESULTS

Suppression of the SOS response sensitizes fluoroquinolone resistance. Six isogenic *E. coli* strains harboring frequent chromosomal mutations, associated with fluoroquinolone resistance, in the *gyrA* and/or *parC* genes and/or a deletion in the *marR* gene and combined with plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) mechanism (*qnrS*) (ranging from wild-type high level of susceptibility to a high level of resistance) were used for *recA* deletion or *lexA* replacement by *lexA1* and evaluated for susceptibility to quinolones (Table 1; also see Table S1A in the supplemental material).



FIG 1 Engineered *recA* and *lexA* variants in *E. coli* displaying a range of SOS activities. The LexA protein is represented by green ovals, and *lexA1* (G80D) cleavage mutations are labeled in orange. RecA is shown as red filaments, and *recA* deleted mutants are labeled in red. Strains with a natural SOS response are labeled in green. Four *lexA1* mutants and six mutants with *recA* deleted were derived from low-level quinolone-resistant (LLQR), resistant, and high-level resistant wild-type (WT) phenotypes of quinolone resistance, allowing the bacterial response to quinolones to be examined across a spectrum of SOS activity. Activated RecA filaments led to cleavage of LexA and inducible expression of SOS genes in the WT strain. Deletion of *recA* (*ΔrecA*) inactivated the SOS response. Mutations in the LexA protein (G80D) reduce the rate of self-cleavage relative to the WT strain and so affect the level of SOS induction.

We first confirmed that the $\Delta recA$ and *lexA1* mutations produce the expected perturbations in the SOS response (significant differences were observed confirming suppression and hypoinduction of the SOS response, respectively) (Fig. S1). The reductions in the MICs of ciprofloxacin ranged from 1-fold to >8-fold against both the $\Delta recA$ and LexA1 strains (Table 1). Sensitization was greater in $\Delta recA$ strains (with constitutive SOS inactivation), ranging from 4-fold to >8-fold (Table 1; Fig. S2). Of note, the EC04lexA1 strain did not reduce the MIC values of most the quinolones, which lends support to the hypoinducible SOS response as a less effective strategy of sensitization to quinolones. The process of sensitization was equally efficient across susceptible, LLQR, and resistant phenotypes and independent of the type of molecular mechanism involved in guinolone resistance or whether it was chromosomally or plasmid mediated. Interestingly, recA inactivation in the EC02 strain (carrying a GyrA protein with S83L substitution) modified the ciprofloxacin MIC value below the epidemiological cutoff (0.032 mg/liter) (http://www.eucast.org) (25). Here we show that, in terms of MICs, SOS inactivation suppresses the effects of first-step mutations toward resistance associated with topoisomerase type II modifications.

Similar results were observed for all quinolones tested. The MICs were reduced up to 8-, 8-, 15-, 4-, 4-, and 2-fold for ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, and nalidixic acid, respectively (Table S1A).

In addition, the changes in the ciprofloxacin MICs observed for EC08 (MIC of 2 mg/liter down to 0.5 mg/liter) and EC09 (MIC of 8 mg/liter down to 1 mg/liter) *recA* deficient strains involved changes to the susceptible category. Here, strain EC08, which is intermediate or resistant according to the CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints, respectively, was sensitized to susceptible according to both committees. Similarly, the resistant strain, EC09, was sensitized to susceptible and intermediate-susceptible according to the CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints to the CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints, respectively (Table 1). The clinical category was also changed to susceptible against levofloxacin and moxifloxacin (Table S1A).

These data all lend support to suppression of the SOS response as capable of resensitizing mutant strains with genes encoding three, or even four, different mech-

TABLE 1 Genotypes and ciprofloxacin susceptibility (by Etest) of isogenic strains

Strain	Genotype ^a								Fold	Source or
	gyrA1	gyrA2	parC	marR	qnr	SOS system	MIC ^b	EUCAST) ^c	change ^d	reference
ATCC ^e						WT ^f	0.008	S/S		Lab collection
ATCCrecA						∆recA	< 0.002	S/S	>4	This study
ATCClexA1						lexA1	0.004	S/S	2	This study
EC02	S83L					WT	0.25	S/S		31
EC02recA	S83L					ΔrecA	0.03	S/S	8	This study
EC04	S83L		S80R			WT	0.5	S/S		31
EC04recA	S83L		S80R			ΔrecA	0.125	S/S	4	This study
EC04lexA1	S83L		S80R			lexA1	0.5	S/S	1	This study
EC08	S83L	D87N	S80R			WT	2	I/R		31
EC08recA	S83L	D87N	S80R			ΔrecA	0.5	S/S	4	This study
EC09	S83L	D87N	S80R	∆marR		WT	8	R/R		31
EC09recA	S83L	D87N	S80R	∆marR		ΔrecA	1	S/I	8	This study
EC09lexA1	S83L	D87N	S80R	∆marR		lexA1	2	I/R	4	This study
EC59	S83L	D87N	S80R	∆marR	qnrS	WT	>32	R/R		31
EC59recA	S83L	D87N	S80R	∆marR	gnrS	ΔrecA	4	R/R	>8	This study
EC59lexA1	S83L	D87N	S80R	∆marR	, qnrS	lexA1	32	R/R	>1	This study

^aStrains are isogenic to *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and carry only the chromosomal modifications, *qnrS* gene, and/or SOS dysfunction (*recA* deletion or nonproteolizable LexA variant [LexA1]). Resistance-associated mutations located in the GyrA and ParC proteins have been defined as resistance mechanisms that alter the target site. ^bMIC (in milligrams per liter) of ciprofloxacin by Etest.

^cCC, clinical category according to the CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints (25, 38). The clinical category according to the CLSI breakpoint is shown before the slash, and the clinical category according to the EUCAST breakpoint is shown after the slash. The clinical categories are shown as follows: S, susceptible; I, intermediate susceptibility; R, resistant. Subgroups with clinical category changes are indicated in boldface type.

^dFold reduction of MIC compared to the MIC of wild-type strain for the SOS system in each isogenic subgroup.

eE. coli ATCC 25922.

^fWT, wild-type.

anisms of acquired quinolone resistance. The degree of sensitization could be considered moderate (up to 15-fold).

SOS suppression enhances bactericidal activity against resistant strains. To show the impact of SOS response suppression in terms of bacterial viability, time-kill curves were obtained for each isogenic group according to the SOS system induction status. At fixed concentrations, a marked reduction of viable bacteria was observed with the inactivated SOS response over 24 h of incubation (Fig. 2). At 1 mg/liter, a bactericidal effect (drop of $>3 \log_{10}$ CFU/ml) was observed against strain EC08recA after 4 h (and no viable bacteria were recovered after 6 h). At 2.5 mg/liter, a bactericidal effect was observed against both EC08 (after 4 h) and EC08recA (after 2 h), although regrowth was observed after 24 h in strain EC08 (6.8 log₁₀ CFU/ml) but not strain EC08recA (Fig. 2). At 1 mg/liter, a bacteriostatic effect only (drop of <3 log₁₀ CFU/ml) was observed in strain EC09recA (although a marked difference was observed in the first 8 h compared to EC09, up to Δ 4.2 log₁₀ CFU/ml at 6 h). At 2.5 mg/liter, however, a bactericidal effect was observed in EC09recA (after 2 h) and a bacteriostatic effect in EC09 in the first 8 h, with regrowth after 24 h (Fig. 2). Under these conditions, SOS induction suppression leads to a high bactericidal effect under relevant therapeutic concentrations in E. coli harboring multiple mechanisms of quinolone resistance.

At 1× MIC of ciprofloxacin (of the tested strains harboring a nonmodified SOS system), major differences in the numbers of viable bacteria were observed after 24 h (Δ 6.1, Δ 4.2, Δ 9.5, and Δ 6.9 log₁₀ CFU/ml in the ATCC, EC04, EC08, and EC09 isogenic pairs, respectively). Minor differences were observed at 4× MIC of ciprofloxacin (Fig. S3). Additional kinetic assays (growth curves and ATP production) confirmed these results (Fig. S8).

Suppression of SOS response reduces survival in resistant strains after a short time. The LIVE/DEAD staining method was tested using three different approaches to show the impact of SOS inactivation on bacterial survival during a short period of



FIG 2 SOS inactivation enhances bactericidal activity against resistant strains. Viable bacterial counts of the EC08/EC08recA and EC09/EC09recA isogenic pairs in time-kill assays at ciprofloxacin (CIP) concentrations of 1 mg/liter (breakpoint for resistance according to EUCAST) and 2.5 mg/liter (human serum C_{max}), respectively. Data are represented as the means from at least three independent measurements. Standard deviations are indicated by the error bars (standard deviations not shown are smaller than the symbols).

exposure to quinolones. First, using the Infinite 200PRO multireader, it was clear that the live/dead ratio depended significantly on the SOS response functionality. Figure S4A shows the quantitative results obtained at $1 \times$ MIC of ciprofloxacin (according to the MICs of strains with a functional SOS response) after 4 h of exposure. Under these conditions, the ratio of live to dead cells for E. coli ATCCrecA, EC04recA, EC08recA, and EC09recA deficient SOS variants decreased by 88%, 94%, 98%, and 98%, respectively, compared to their parental variants with the wild-type SOS response (P < 0.001). This reduction was also proportional to the level of quinolone resistance (P < 0.001). Second, these results were supported by fluorescence microscopy assay. Figure S4A shows representative images of strains EC08 and EC08recA exposed to 2.5 mg/liter (maximum concentration of drug in serum $[C_{max}]$) of ciprofloxacin for 4 h, supporting the differential response. Third, in order to determine whether the SOS response was a key factor for survival after a very short period of exposure to bactericidal drugs like quinolones in strains with mechanisms of acquired resistance (strains EC02, EC04, EC08, and EC09), flow cytometry was used to examine bacterial viability after 60 min of exposure at multiple concentrations of ciprofloxacin (see Materials and Methods). A significant reduction in cell viability was observed following treatment with ciprofloxacin at $4 \times$ MIC and 2.5 mg/liter (Fig. 3 and S4B) (also at $1 \times$ MIC and 1 mg/liter [data not shown]), which correlates directly with the inability to activate the SOS response. These results imply that the SOS response is a key short-term responder to DNA damage in both LLQR and resistant E. coli at clinically relevant quinolone concentrations.

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the *in vivo* model. The fit of the mathematical model to the mouse serum data (i.e., correlation between the observed



FIG 3 Flow cytometry of four groups of isogenic LLQR cells (harboring a natural [wild-type] or inactive SOS system [$\Delta recA$]) treated for 60 min at 2.5 mg/liter or at 4× MIC (relative to the MIC of the wild-type SOS) of ciprofloxacin. Survival was measured as staining with green fluorescent SYTO9. Strains EC02 (A), EC04 (B), EC08 (C), and EC09 (D) were studied.

versus predicted data of the mathematical model) was acceptable ($R^2 = 0.968$). The estimated parameter values were as follows: clearance (CL), 0.38×10^{-1} liters/h; volume of distribution of the drug in the peripheral compartment (V_p) of 0.95×10^{-2} liters; transfer rate constant from central to peripheral compartment (k_{cp}) of 4.03; transfer rate constant from peripheral to central compartment (k_{pc}) = 0.44 ×10⁻⁷ (Fig. S5). AUC/MIC values of 12.2/24.4 for strain EC08 and 48.8/97.6 for strain EC08recA were predicted in our model for 50 and 100 mg/kg of body weight, respectively.

SOS suppression enhances bactericidal activity against resistant strains *in vivo.* Selected isogenic mutants, ciprofloxacin-nonsusceptible strain EC08 and susceptible strain EC08ΔrecA, were included in a murine model of intraperitoneal sepsis. No differences of bacterial load were observed in the spleens of control groups infected with strains EC08 and EC08ΔrecA (7.73 ± 0.62 versus 7.45 ± 0.61 log₁₀ CFU/g). All the controls died in the first 48 h according to the minimum lethal dose (MLD), with no differences between the strains (P > 0.05). Note that 33% mortality was observed within the first 24 h in the EC08 group treated with 50 mg/kg every 12 h (q12h), while no mortality was observed in the remaining treated groups during the experiments. With respect to bacterial burden, in mice infected with strain EC08ΔrecA (with the inactivated SOS response), treatment with ciprofloxacin at 50 mg/kg q12h and at 100 mg/kg q12h significantly reduced bacterial concentrations (Δ log₁₀ CFU/g units of 1.75 and 1.76 in the spleen; P < 0.001, respectively) with respect to groups infected with strain EC08 (with the active SOS response) (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

The SOS response plays an important role in adaptation and acquired bacterial resistance to antibiotics. The key regulators (LexA and RecA) have been proposed as an attractive strategy for increasing bacterial sensitivity to antibiotics and combating the



FIG 4 SOS inactivation reduces the *in vivo* survival of mice infected with bacteria receiving quinolone treatment. Efficacies of ciprofloxacin (CIP) in a murine model of sepsis caused by strains EC08 (intact SOS system) and EC08recA (inactivated SOS system). Group 1 was given ciprofloxacin 50 mg/kg q12h intraperitoneally. Group 2 was given ciprofloxacin 100 mg/kg q12h intraperitoneally. The control group was not treated with ciprofloxacin. Standard deviations are indicated by the error bars. Values that were significantly different (P < 0.001) are indicated by a bar and asterisk. Values that were not significantly different (ns) are indicated.

emergence of resistance. This strategy has been tested essentially against highly susceptible wild-type bacteria without molecular mechanisms of acquired resistance (16, 26–28). Low-level resistance phenotypes, such as LLQR (which can be exposed to sublethal levels of antibiotics during antimicrobial treatment), pose a significant threat to the development of clinical resistance (29–31). Previous data validating the SOS response as a target of interest motivated our efforts to explore the consequences of a broader spectrum of SOS activity, ranging from natural through hypoinducible to constitutively repressed SOS response (Fig. 1) in a set of isogenic strains carrying combinations of chromosome- and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance, and phenotypes ranging from susceptible to LLQR, resistant, and highly resistant. Our detailed analysis opens up a new strategy for reversing drug resistance by targeting the SOS response.

The bactericidal activity of quinolones in bacteria has been related to a combination of DNA fragmentation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and programmed cell death systems, such as mazEF (32-35). The SOS response has also been postulated as a formidable strategy against aggressions such as antimicrobial exposure (10). The link between quinolones, activation of the SOS response, and induction of antibiotic resistance (26, 28) demonstrates the potential for reducing resistance by targeting the RecA and LexA proteins that are essential for an SOS response. Our study provides evidence that suppression of the SOS pathway can synergize with specific antimicrobial agents, such as quinolones, to reduce MICs in a process of resistance reversion. In the case of constitutive SOS inactivation, the MIC data of $\Delta recA$ mutants were in agreement with earlier studies of highly susceptible wild-type phenotypes (36, 37), and resensitization was observed in LLQR, resistant, and highly resistant phenotypes (Table 1 and Fig. 2 and 4; also see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). However, the increased sensitivity was less when the level of SOS induction was attenuated by a slow-cleaving LexA variant, the LexA1 (G80D) strain, which showed minor changes in MIC in both susceptible



FIG 5 A general qualitative model illustrating the efficacy of SOS response suppression for reversion of fluoroquinolone resistance. Reversion of resistance was even observed in bacteria carrying genes encoding four different resistance mechanisms. Red bars correspond to *E. coli* with an intact SOS system; green bars correspond to *E. coli* with a suppressed SOS response. The epidemiological cutoff (ECOFF) (0.032 mg/liter [http://www.eucast.org]) is indicated by the red dotted line. S, I, and R mean susceptibility, intermediate susceptibility, and clinical resistance, respectively. SOS- means SOS response suppression.

and resistant phenotypes. This discrepancy could be due, in part, because *recA* deletion can have an impact beyond leading to loss of LexA cleavage and SOS response suppression, with additional implications in important processes like homologous recombination. An overactive SOS response can also increase quino-lone susceptibility, although to a lesser extent than constitutive inhibition (15). Moreover, several compounds that inhibit RecA *in vitro* or *in vivo* have been discovered (17–20). In short, potent inhibition of the SOS response in concert with DNA-damaging agents like quinolones offers the best option for potential synergy, and we focused our study on *recA* mutants in order to show their impact on the reversion of quinolone resistance.

According to the CLSI guidelines (38), complete inactivation of the SOS response led to a change in clinical category for ciprofloxacin from intermediate or resistant to susceptible in EC08 (S83L, D87N, and S80R substitutions) and EC09 (S83L, D87N, and S80R substitutions and $\Delta marR$) strains, respectively. Using EUCAST guidelines (25), inactivation changed the clinical category from resistant to susceptible in strain EC08 and to intermediate-susceptible in strain EC09, respectively. These results support the relevance of a strategy of SOS inactivation for bringing about reversion of antimicrobial resistance at a level that could be clinically significant. Interestingly, the inactivation of recA in the EC02 strain (encoding an S83L substitution) modified the ciprofloxacin MIC below the epidemiological cutoff (0.032 mg/liter; http://www.eucast.org) (39, 40). Here we show that inactivation of the SOS system suppresses the effect, in terms of MIC, of the first step toward resistance associated with topoisomerase type II modifications. A qualitative model illustrating the efficacy of SOS suppression in the resensitization of guinolone resistance is shown in Fig. 5, showing that this phenomenon is observed in bacteria with genes encoding multiple (up to four) different resistance mechanisms.

In terms of kinetic assays, multiple approaches were developed to evaluate the

reversion of quinolone resistance mediated by an inactivated SOS response at both long and short periods of exposure to drugs. In all cases, we observed a clear selective disadvantage for survival in strains with a suppressed SOS response when exposed to ciprofloxacin at relevant concentrations (breakpoint concentrations, serum C_{max} and MIC values) (Fig. 2 and 3 and S6). For time-kill curves, an inactivated SOS response in E. coli harboring multiple mechanisms of resistance had a high bactericidal effect in the presence of clinically relevant ciprofloxacin concentrations after 2 to 4 h of exposure (depending on the strain and conditions), which was maintained for 24 h (Fig. 2 and S3). Flow cytometry assays also showed significant reductions in cell viability following a short period of exposure to the drug (60 min), which was directly related to the inability to activate the SOS response (Fig. 3). Our data show that changes in the MICs of specific quinolone-resistant strains (EC08 and EC09) as a result of an inactivated SOS response correlated with ROS formation at clinically relevant concentrations of ciprofloxacin (Fig. S7). In terms of bacterial viability, these data support the potential utility of this strategy for resensitizing or reversing quinolone resistance after both short and long periods of exposure to quinolones at relevant concentrations. Interestingly, whether SOS response suppression could restrict the evolution to clinical resistance in LLQR phenotypes should be tested (16).

Although SOS response inactivation led to moderate reductions in the MICs of ciprofloxacin (up to 8-fold) and other fluoroquinolones (up to 15-fold), these differences could play a significant role in therapeutic failure, bearing in mind the concentration-dependent character of these antimicrobials, whose predictors of efficacy *in vivo* are $C_{\rm max}$ /MIC and AUC/MIC. AUC/MIC values of >30 are associated with low mortality and are required for clinical efficacy (41–44). Our murine sepsis model, using isogenic strains that were resistant (EC08) and susceptible (EC08recA; lacking SOS response) to ciprofloxacin according to EUCAST, showed the impact of the pathway on the *in vivo* efficacy of this fluoroquinolone (with a reduction in bacterial count of around 99%). Our murine model shows that inactivation of the SOS pathway in an initially quinolone-resistant *E. coli* strain (EC08) significantly increases the *in vivo* efficacy of ciprofloxacin. According to our data, engineered bacteriophage targeting SOS response (by overexpression of an inactivated LexA variant) was shown to be a promising resistance reversion strategy (45).

In overall terms, this study shows that suppression of the SOS response enhances the bactericidal activity of antimicrobials like quinolones across a range of *E. coli* phenotypes from highly susceptible to highly resistant and plays a significant role in increasing the *in vivo* efficacy of these bactericidal drugs against bacteria with multiple mechanisms of acquired resistance. The development of RecA inhibitors could function as an adjuvant therapy, potentiating antimicrobial activity and contributing to the resensitization or reversion of drug resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, growth conditions, and antimicrobial agents. Wild-type *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as the starting strain for all constructions (Table 1). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (wild-type) and isogenic EC02, EC04, EC08, EC09, and EC59 strains represent progressive degrees of fluoroquinolone resistance, ranging from susceptible to resistant (see Text S1 in the supplemental material for details).

Liquid or solid Luria-Bertani medium (LB), Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB), and M9 minimal medium were used. Strains were grown at 37°C. The following quinolones were used for the different assays: nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, and ofloxacin (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain).

Isogenic strain construction. *lexA1* mutants (coding for a LexA G80D substitution) (46) were obtained by gene replacement, as previously described (Table 1 and see Table S1B in the supplemental material) (31, 47). Disruption of the *recA* gene was carried out with a modified version of the method described by Datsenko and Wanner (48). The *qnrS* gene was cloned into the pBK-CMV vector as described previously (31) (see Text S1 for details).

MICs. MICs were determined in triplicate for each bacterial strain, using two different techniques, broth microdilution and the Etest technique, and following CLSI reference methods (38). Clinical categories were established according to CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints (25, 38).

Time-kill curve assays. To show the effect of suppression of the SOS response on bacterial viability, time-kill assays were performed with each isogenic group based on the SOS system induction status. Mueller-Hinton broth was used with $1 \times MIC$ and $4 \times MIC$ concentrations of ciprofloxacin. Ciprofloxacin

mBio

concentrations were relative to MICs for strains harboring the unmodified SOS system (i.e., with intact *recA* and *lexA* genes). Selected isogenic groups of strains, the EC08 and EC09 groups (bordering on clinical resistance) were also exposed to fixed concentrations of antimicrobial (1 mg/liter, the breakpoint for resistance according to EUCAST, or 2.5 mg/liter, human serum C_{max} for ciprofloxacin) in MHB (25, 49). Growth in drug-free broth was evaluated in parallel as a control. Cultures were incubated at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. An initial inoculum of 10⁶ CFU/mI was used in all experiments, and bacterial concentrations were determined at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 h by colony counting.

Quantification of live/dead bacteria by flow cytometry. The Molecular Probes LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kit (Invitrogen) was used to show the impact of SOS inactivation after a short period of antimicrobial exposure by flow cytometry (Cytomics FC500-MPL; Beckman Coulter) according to the kit instructions.

Cells were exposed at 1× MIC and 4× MIC ciprofloxacin concentration of the tested strains harboring a nonmodified SOS system (i.e., intact *recA* and *lexA* genes) or to a fixed concentration (1 mg/liter, the breakpoint for resistance according to EUCAST, or 2.5 mg/liter, the serum C_{max} for ciprofloxacin) (25, 49).

Cells were cultured in the same way and exposed to ciprofloxacin for 60 min. To prepare the cells for measurement, 1 ml of cell culture was washed once in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), resuspended in 1 ml of saline solution, stained according to the kit instructions, and then incubated for 15 min before counting. The following photomultiplier tube (PMT) voltages were used: 420 V for FL1 and 560 V for FL3. At least 10,000 cells per sample were collected. Flow cytometry acquisition was performed at a low flow rate (~30 events/s) (35).

Mice. Male immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the University of Seville. The project was approved by the Ethics and Clinical Research Committee of the Virgen Macarena and Virgen del Rocio University Hospitals (reference number 1086-N-15) (see Text S1 for details).

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Pharmacokinetic serum data from our previous work were fitted to a two-compartment model (intraperitoneal space and blood) using ADAPT 5 (50, 51). A range of dosages were simulated in order to obtain a favorable pharmacokinetic parameter of area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 24 h (AUC₀₋₂₄)/MIC ~50 or ~100, adjusted to the SOS-deficient strain in the isogenic pair EC08/EC08recA (strain EC08recA has a ciprofloxacin MIC of 0.5 mg/liter).

Experimental model. Mice weighing 16 to 18 g were used. Using a murine model of peritoneal sepsis, the minimum lethal dose (MLD) for EC08 and EC08recA strains was determined (see Text S1 for details). The murine model was used to evaluate the efficacy of ciprofloxacin between strains EC08 and EC08recA. Mice were infected intraperitoneally using the MLD. Two hours postinfection, antimicrobial therapy started. Animals were randomly assigned to different therapeutic groups as follows: group 1,ciprofloxacin administered intraperitoneally at 50 mg/kg of body weight every 12 h (q12h); group 2, ciprofloxacin administered intraperitoneally at 100 mg/kg q12h;control group, no ciprofloxacin treatment. At 24 h, the bacterial loads in the spleens of 15 mice per strain and ciprofloxacin dosage were determined (see Text S1 for details).

Statistical analysis. For statistical evaluation, the Student's *t* test was used when two groups were compared. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and Tukey's posthoc tests were used for group comparisons. Differences were considered significant when *P* values were \leq 0.05.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .00971-17.

TEXT S1, DOCX file, 0.04 MB. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.5 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 2 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.4 MB. FIG S4, PDF file, 0.6 MB. FIG S5, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S6, PDF file, 0.4 MB. FIG S7, PDF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S8, PDF file, 1.1 MB. TABLE S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (projects PI14/00940 and PI13/00063) and by the Plan Nacional de I+D+i 2008-2011 and the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Redes y Centros de Investigación Cooperativa, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, and the Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI RD12/0015), cofinanced by the European Development Regional Fund "A way to achieve Europe" ERDF.

REFERENCES

- Fischbach MA, Walsh CT. 2009. Antibiotics for emerging pathogens. Science 325:1089–1093. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176667.
- Méhi O, Bogos B, Csörgő B, Pál F, Nyerges A, Papp B, Pál C. 2014. Perturbation of iron homeostasis promotes the evolution of antibiotic resistance. Mol Biol Evol 31:2793–2804. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msu223.
- Palmer AC, Kishony R. 2013. Understanding, predicting and manipulating the genotypic evolution of antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Genet 14:243–248. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3351.
- Vila J, Sáez-López E, Johnson JR, Römling U, Dobrindt U, Cantón R, Giske CG, Naas T, Carattoli A, Martínez-Medina M, Bosch J, Retamar P, Rodríguez-Baño J, Baquero F, Soto SM. 2016. *Escherichia coli*: an old friend with new tidings. FEMS Microbiol Rev 40:437–463. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/femsre/fuw005.
- Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AK, Wertheim HF, Sumpradit N, Vlieghe E, Hara GL, Gould IM, Goossens H, Greko C, So AD, Bigdeli M, Tomson G, Woodhouse W, Ombaka E, Peralta AQ, Qamar FN, Mir F, Kariuki S, Bhutta ZA, Coates A, Bergstrom R, Wright GD, Brown ED, Cars O. 2013. Antibiotic resistance-the need for global solutions. Lancet Infect Dis 13:1057–1098. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9.
- Hooper DC, Jacoby GA. 2015. Mechanisms of drug resistance: quinolone resistance. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1354:12–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas .12830.
- Rodríguez-Martínez JM, Machuca J, Cano ME, Calvo J, Martínez-Martínez L, Pascual A. 2016. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: two decades on. Drug Resist Updat 29:13–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2016.09 .001.
- Blázquez J, Couce A, Rodríguez-Beltrán J, Rodríguez-Rojas A. 2012. Antimicrobials as promoters of genetic variation. Curr Opin Microbiol 15:561–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2012.07.007.
- Culyba MJ, Mo CY, Kohli RM. 2015. Targets for combating the evolution of acquired antibiotic resistance. Biochemistry 54:3573–3582. https://doi .org/10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00109.
- Baharoglu Z, Mazel D. 2014. SOS, the formidable strategy of bacteria against aggressions. FEMS Microbiol Rev 38:1126–1145. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1574-6976.12077.
- Newmark KG, O'Reilly EK, Pohlhaus JR, Kreuzer KN. 2005. Genetic analysis of the requirements for SOS induction by nalidixic acid in *Escherichia coli*. Gene 356:69–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.04.029.
- Strugeon E, Tilloy V, Ploy M-C, Da Re S. 2016. The stringent response promotes antibiotic resistance dissemination by regulating integron integrase expression in biofilms. mBio 7:e00868-16. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.00868-16.
- Costa SB, Campos ACC, Pereira AC, de Mattos-Guaraldi AL, Júnior RH, Rosa AC, Asad LM. 2014. Adherence to abiotic surface induces SOS response in Escherichia coli K-12 strains under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Microbiology 160:1964–1973. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0 .075317-0.
- Gómez-Gómez JM, Manfredi C, Alonso JC, Blázquez J. 2007. A novel role for RecA under non-stress: promotion of swarming motility in *Escherichia coli* K-12. BMC Biol 5:14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-5-14.
- Mo CY, Manning SA, Roggiani M, Culyba MJ, Samuels AN, Sniegowski PD, Goulian M, Kohli RM. 2016. Systematically altering bacterial SOS activity under stress reveals therapeutic strategies for potentiating antibiotics. mSphere 1:e00163-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00163-16.
- Cirz RT, Chin JK, Andes DR, de Crécy-Lagard V, Craig WA, Romesberg FE. 2005. Inhibition of mutation and combating the evolution of antibiotic resistance. PLoS Biol 3:e176. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio .0030176.
- Nautiyal A, Patil KN, Muniyappa K. 2014. Suramin is a potent and selective inhibitor of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* RecA protein and the SOS response: RecA as a potential target for antibacterial drug discovery. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:1834–1843. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dku080.
- Sexton JZ, Wigle TJ, He Q, Hughes MA, Smith GR, Singleton SF, Williams AL, Yeh L-A. 2010. Novel inhibitors of *E. coli* RecA ATPase activity. Curr Chem Genomics 4:34–42. https://doi.org/10.2174/1875397301 004010034.
- Bellio P, Brisdelli F, Perilli M, Sabatini A, Bottoni C, Segatore B, Setacci D, Amicosante G, Celenza G. 2014. Curcumin inhibits the SOS response

induced by levofloxacin in *Escherichia coli*. Phytomedicine 21:430–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2013.10.011.

- Alam MK, Alhhazmi A, DeCoteau JF, Luo Y, Geyer CR. 2016. RecA inhibitors potentiate antibiotic activity and block evolution of antibiotic resistance. Cell Chem Biol 23:381–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .chembiol.2016.02.010.
- Baym M, Stone LK, Kishony R. 2016. Multidrug evolutionary strategies to reverse antibiotic resistance. Science 351:aad3292. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.aad3292.
- Brynildsen MP, Winkler JA, Spina CS, MacDonald IC, Collins JJ. 2013. Potentiating antibacterial activity by predictably enhancing endogenous microbial ROS production. Nat Biotechnol 31:160–165. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nbt.2458.
- Kim S, Lieberman TD, Kishony R. 2014. Alternating antibiotic treatments constrain evolutionary paths to multidrug resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:14494–14499. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1409800111.
- 24. Roland KL, Little JW. 1990. Reaction of LexA repressor with diisopropyl fluorophosphate. A test of the serine protease model. J Biol Chem 265:12828–12835.
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 2016. Clinical breakpoints and epidemiological cut-off values. http://www.eucast .org/clinical_breakpoints/.
- Cirz RT, Jones MB, Gingles NA, Minogue TD, Jarrahi B, Peterson SN, Romesberg FE. 2007. Complete and SOS-mediated response of *Staphylococcus aureus* to the antibiotic ciprofloxacin. J Bacteriol 189:531–539. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01464-06.
- Baharoglu Z, Mazel D. 2011. Vibrio cholerae triggers SOS and mutagenesis in response to a wide range of antibiotics: a route towards multi-resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:2438–2441. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01549-10.
- Cirz RT, Romesberg FE. 2006. Induction and inhibition of ciprofloxacin resistance-conferring mutations in hypermutator bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:220–225. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.1.220 -225.2006.
- Baquero F. 2001. Low-level antibacterial resistance: a gateway to clinical resistance. Drug Resist Updat 4:93–105. https://doi.org/10.1054/drup .2001.0196.
- Andersson DI, Hughes D. 2014. Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:465–478. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrmicro3270.
- Machuca J, Briales A, Labrador G, Díaz-de-Alba P, López-Rojas R, Docobo-Pérez F, Martínez-Martínez L, Rodríguez-Baño J, Pachón ME, Pascual A, Rodríguez-Martínez JM. 2014. Interplay between plasmid-mediated and chromosomal-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance and bacterial fitness in *Escherichia coli*. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:3203–3215. https://doi .org/10.1093/jac/dku308.
- Drlica K, Hiasa H, Kerns R, Malik M, Mustaev A, Zhao X. 2009. Quinolones: action and resistance updated. Curr Top Med Chem 9:981–998. https:// doi.org/10.2174/156802609789630947.
- Erental A, Kalderon Z, Saada A, Smith Y, Engelberg-Kulka H. 2014. Apoptosis-like death, an extreme SOS response in *Escherichia coli*. mBio 5:e01426-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01426-14.
- Zhao X, Hong Y, Drlica K. 2015. Moving forward with reactive oxygen species involvement in antimicrobial lethality. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:639–642. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku463.
- Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Collins JJ. 2010. How antibiotics kill bacteria: from targets to networks. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:423–435. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nrmicro2333.
- Thi TD, López E, Rodríguez-Rojas A, Rodríguez-Beltrán J, Couce A, Guelfo JR, Castañeda-García A, Blázquez J. 2011. Effect of recA inactivation on mutagenesis of *Escherichia coli* exposed to sublethal concentrations of antimicrobials. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:531–538. https://doi.org/10 .1093/jac/dkq496.
- Da Re S, Garnier F, Guérin E, Campoy S, Denis F, Ploy M-C. 2009. The SOS response promotes *qnrB* quinolone-resistance determinant expression. EMBO Rep 10:929–933. https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2009.99.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2016. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty-sixth informational supplement M100-S26. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 39. Tamae C, Liu A, Kim K, Sitz D, Hong J, Becket E, Bui A, Solaimani P, Tran

KP, Yang H, Miller JH. 2008. Determination of antibiotic hypersensitivity among 4,000 single-gene-knockout mutants of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 190:5981–5988. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01982-07.

- Rodríguez-Martínez JM, Velasco C, Briales A, García I, Conejo MC, Pascual A. 2008. Qnr-like pentapeptide repeat proteins in Gram-positive bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 61:1240–1243. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dkn115.
- 41. Craig WA. 1998. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters: rationale for antibacterial dosing of mice and men. Clin Infect Dis 26:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1086/516284.
- 42. Schentag JJ, Meagher AK, Forrest A. 2003. Fluoroquinolone AUIC break points and the link to bacterial killing rates. Part 2: human trials. Ann Pharmacother 37:1478–1488. https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1C419.
- Schentag JJ. 2000. Clinical pharmacology of the fluoroquinolones: studies in human dynamic/kinetic models. Clin Infect Dis 31(Suppl 2): S40–S44. https://doi.org/10.1086/314059.
- Wright DH, Brown GH, Peterson ML, Rotschafer JC. 2000. Application of fluoroquinolone pharmacodynamics. J Antimicrob Chemother 46: 669–683. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.5.669.
- Lu TK, Collins JJ. 2009. Engineered bacteriophage targeting gene networks as adjuvants for antibiotic therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:4629–4634. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800442106.
- 46. Elez M, Radman M, Matic I. 2007. The frequency and structure of

recombinant products is determined by the cellular level of MutL. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:8935–8940. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0610149104.

- Pósfai G, Kolisnychenko V, Bereczki Z, Blattner FR. 1999. Markerless gene replacement in *Escherichia coli* stimulated by a double-strand break in the chromosome. Nucleic Acids Res 27:4409–4415. https://doi.org/10 .1093/nar/27.22.4409.
- Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:6640–6645. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297.
- Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ. 2015. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's principles and practice of infectious diseases, 8th ed, p 427. Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia, PA.
- 50. Domínguez-Herrera J, Velasco C, Docobo-Pérez F, Rodríguez-Martínez JM, López-Rojas R, Briales A, Pichardo C, Díaz-de-Alba P, Rodríguez-Baño J, Pascual A, Pachón J. 2013. Impact of *qnrA1*, *qnrB1* and *qnrS1* on the efficacy of ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin in an experimental pneumonia model caused by *Escherichia coli* with or without the GyrA mutation Ser83Leu. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:1609–1615. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt063.
- D'Argenio DZ, Schumitzky A, Wang X. 2009. ADAPT 5 user's guide: pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic systems analysis software. Biomedical Simulations Resource, Los Angeles, CA.